Compact singularity-free Kerr-Newman-de Sitter instantons

Piotr T. Chruściel[†*]

Erwin Schrödinger Institute and Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna

Michael Hörzinger[†]

Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna

(Dated: December 28, 2016)

arXiv:1612.08569v1 [hep-th] 27 Dec 2016

Abstract

Generalising arXiv:1511.08496, we construct further families of compact Einstein-Maxwell instantons associated with the Kerr-Newman metrics with a positive cosmological constant.

INTRODUCTION

Euclidean counterparts of Lorentzian solutions play an important role in Euclidean Quantum Gravity [1, 2]. They are used in calculations of transition probabilities between states [3], or in probabilities of universe creation [4]. Instantons with negative eigenvalues indicates quantum instabilities of semi-classical solutions [5]. For all those reasons it is of interest to find Euclidean versions of key Lorentzian solutions.

Recall that the Kerr-Newman solutions are of key interest in view of their uniqueness properties. The corresponding solutions with positive cosmological constant, discovered by Demiański and Plebański [6] and, independently, by Carter [7], are similarly expected to be unique under natural conditions. In recent work [8] we constructed a family of compact Riemannian four-dimensional manifolds, parameterised by two integers (n_1, n_2) and the value of the cosmological constant Λ, obtained by complex substitutions in the Kerr-Newman de Sitter metric. The requirement of 1) smoothness and compactness of the underlying manifold, together with the condition that 2) *the original coordinates form a coordinate system away from the axes of rotation*, provided a quantisation condition on the mass, effective charge and angular momentum parameters of the associated Lorentzian manifold. The further requirement of well-defined charged spinor fields on the Riemannian manifolds leads to a quantisation condition on the electric and magnetic charge of the instanton, as well as quantisation of the charge of the spinor fields.

In this work we wish to point out the existence of a more general class of such compact instantons, which arise if one drops the requirement 2) above.

THE SOLUTIONS

The Kerr-Newman-de Sitter (KNdS) metric is a solution of the Einstein-Maxwell equations,

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(F_{\mu\rho}F_{\nu}{}^{\rho} - \frac{1}{4}F^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\nu})\,,$$

$dF = 0$, $d\star F = 0$, where $\Lambda > 0$ is the cosmological constant. In Boyer-Lindquist coordinates, after the replacements $a \to ia$, $t \to it$ and $e \to ie$ the metric takes the form

$$g = \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta_r}dr^2 + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta_\theta}d\theta^2 + \frac{\sin^2(\theta)}{\Xi^2\Sigma}\Delta_\theta(a\mathrm{d}t + (r^2 - a^2)\mathrm{d}\varphi)^2$$

$$+\frac{1}{\Xi^2\Sigma}\Delta_r(dt - a\sin^2(\theta)\mathrm{d}\varphi)^2\,, \tag{1}$$

where, after setting $\lambda = \Lambda/3$, we have $\Sigma = r^2 - a^2\cos^2(\theta)$,

$$\Delta_r = (r^2 - a^2)\left(1 - \lambda r^2\right) - 2Mr + p^2 - e^2\,,$$

$\Delta_\theta = 1 - \lambda a^2\cos^2(\theta)$, and $\Xi = 1 - \lambda a^2$. The Maxwell potential reads

$$A = \frac{p\,\cos(\theta)}{\Sigma}\sigma_1 + \frac{e\,r}{\Sigma}\sigma_2\,, \tag{2}$$

where the one-forms σ_i, $i = 1, 2$, are defined as

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{\Xi}\left(-a\,\mathrm{d}t - (r^2 - a^2)\mathrm{d}\varphi\right)\,,$$
$$\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{\Xi}\left(-\mathrm{d}t + a\sin^2(\theta)\mathrm{d}\varphi\right)\,.$$

When studying the metric, the magnetic charge parameter p and the electric charge parameter e will only appear in the combination

$$p^2_{\text{eff}} := p^2 - e^2\,. \tag{3}$$

The notation p^2_{eff} is appropriate, in that we have *not* found any solutions with $p^2 \leq e^2$ in the family considered below.

We wish to find sets of parameters, with $a \neq 0$, so that (1) is a Riemannian metric on a closed manifold. This requires a range of the variable r, bounded by two *first-order* zeros $r_1 < r_2$ of Δ_r, so that (1) is Riemannian for $\forall r \in (r_1, r_2)$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$. Changing r to its negative if necessary, one is led to the following conditions on the parameters (see [8] for details)

$$0 < |a| < r_1 < r_2\,, \quad a^2 < \lambda^{-1}\,, \quad \Delta_r|_{(r_1,r_2)} > 0\,. \tag{4}$$

Smoothness at $r = r_i$, $i = 1, 2$ requires that near those points t defines a $2\pi\omega$-periodic coordinate, with

$$\omega := \frac{2\Xi\,(r_1^2 - a^2)}{\Delta_r'(r_1)} = -\frac{2\Xi\,(r_2^2 - a^2)}{\Delta_r'(r_2)} \tag{5}$$

(note that $\Delta_r'(r_2)$ must be negative). Smoothness at $\sin\theta = 0$ is guaranteed by introducing near $r = r_i$ new 2π-periodic angular coordinates ϕ_i defined as

$$\mathrm{d}\varphi = \mathrm{d}\phi_1 + \frac{a}{a^2 - r_1^2}\mathrm{d}t = \mathrm{d}\phi_2 + \frac{a}{a^2 - r_2^2}\mathrm{d}t\,. \tag{6}$$

From now on we forget the coordinate φ (which will turn out not be to globally defined in general), but retain the assumption that t is a smooth global periodic coordinate away from the rotation axes. The 2π-periodicity of t, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 imposes the condition

$$n := \underbrace{\frac{a\omega}{r_1^2 - a^2}}_{=:x_1} - \underbrace{\frac{a\omega}{r_2^2 - a^2}}_{=:x_2} \in \mathbb{Z}^* \,. \tag{7}$$

The further condition, imposed in [8], that φ is also a globally defined coordinate, requires that x_1 and x_2 are positive integers. However, this is not necessary, and (7) suffices to obtain a smooth compact manifold.

We thus need to find five real parameters $r_1, r_2, a, M, p^2_{\text{eff}}$ satisfying the constraints (4) such that (5), (7) and the equations

$$\Delta_r(r_1) = 0 = \Delta_r(r_2) \,, \tag{8}$$

hold. This can be rewritten as a system of four polynomial equations for five variables, with an integer parameter n. One thus expects a one-parameter family of solutions for each value of n.

We have not been able to establish directly existence of the desired solutions. Instead, the following strategy, mimicking that of [8], turned out to be successful for all *rational pairs* (x_1, x_2) which we implemented numerically. We believe that there exists a unique solution of the problem at hand for all pairs $(x_1, x_2) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^2$ satisfying (7), so that our condition of rationality of x_1 and x_2 is *not* a real feature of the set of solutions. Rather it is an ansatz that allowed us to establish, using exact computer algebra, existence of solutions of the problem at hand for all rational values of parameters that we have considered.

Thus, we proceed as follows: given $(x_1, x_2) \in (\mathbb{Q}^+)^2$ satisfying (7), the above reduces to a system of five polynomial equations consisting of (8) together with

$$\Delta_r'(r_1)x_1 = 2a\Xi = -\Delta_r'(r_2)x_2 \,, \tag{9}$$

$$(r_1^2 - a^2)x_1 = (r_2^2 - a^2)x_2 \,, \tag{10}$$

for the five real parameters $r_1, r_2, a, M, p^2_{\text{eff}}$ which should satisfy the constraints (4). Note that (10) implies

$$x_1 > x_2 \text{ so that } n > 0.$$

For each pair (x_1, x_2) of explicit rational numbers, one can use MATHEMATICA to obtain an equivalent hierarchic system of polynomial equations, the first one depending only on

p^2_{eff}, the second one only on p^2_{eff} and a, and so forth. (We have not been able to implement this strategy when, say x_1 is left as a free parameter, or is not a rational number.) We have explicitly carried-out the analysis for a subset of values satisfying $\frac{1}{20} \leq x_2 < x_1 \leq 10^7$, namely for the following values: $x_2 = \frac{p}{q}$, $x_1 = n + x_2$, $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 10\}$, $\quad p, q \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 20\}$, together with

$$n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 50, 60, \ldots, 100, 200, \ldots, 1000, 10^4, 10^5, 10^6, 10^7\}\,,$$

$$\frac{p}{q} \in \{\frac{1}{20}, \frac{1}{19}, \ldots, 1, 2, \ldots, 50, 60, \ldots, 100, 200, \ldots, 1000, 10^4, 10^5, 10^6, 10^7\}\,.$$

Several further ranges of parameters have further been used when searching for extrema of the values of physical parameters. Our (exact) MATHEMATICA calculations show that for all pairs $(x_1, x_2) \in (\mathbb{Q}^+)^2$ considered there exists *exactly one* solution satisfying all equations and constraints. This solution has the property that $p^2_{\text{eff}} > 0$ and $M > 0$.

So, each pair of rational numbers as above determines a unique set (M, a, p^2_{eff}). The question then arises, whether there exist preferred values of electric and magnetic charges e and p. Similarly to [9], the existence of charged Dirac fields on the Euclidean manifold leads to further constraints on these parameters. We summarise the argument of [8], which applies to the current setting with simple modifications: We start by noting that while the Maxwell field F is smooth everywhere, the Maxwell potential (2) is not. Indeed, a smooth Maxwell potential A is obtained near $\cos\theta = 1$ and $r = r_i$ when A is gauge-transformed to

$$A + \frac{p}{\Xi}\mathrm{d}\phi_i - \frac{er_i}{\Xi(a^2 - r_i^2)}\mathrm{d}t =: A_-\,.$$

A smooth field A is obtained near $\cos\theta = -1$ and $r = r_i$ when A is gauge-transformed to

$$A - \frac{p}{\Xi}\mathrm{d}\phi_i - \frac{er_i}{\Xi(a^2 - r_i^2)}\mathrm{d}t =: A_+\,.$$

If a Dirac field ψ carries a charge $q_0 \neq 0$, the gauge transformation which transforms A_- to A_+ induces a transformation of the Dirac field

$$\psi \mapsto \exp\left(\frac{2iq_0p}{\hbar\Xi}\phi_i\right)\psi\,.$$

Keeping in mind that ϕ_i is 2π-periodic, the requirement of single-valuedness of ψ results in the condition

$$\frac{2q_0p}{\hbar\Xi} =: m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^*\,. \tag{11}$$

Note that (11) differs from the usual Dirac quantisation formula by a factor Ξ.

Next, the transition from a gauge potential which is regular near $r = r_1$ to a gauge potential which is regular near $r = r_2$ requires a gauge transformation

$$A \mapsto A + \left(\frac{pa + er_2}{\Xi(a^2 - r_2^2)} - \frac{pa + er_1}{\Xi(a^2 - r_1^2)} \right) \mathrm{d}t \,.$$

The associated transformation of the spinor field ψ leads to the further conditions:

$$\frac{m_1 n}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^* \,, \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{q_0 e \omega}{\hbar \Xi} \left(\frac{r_1}{r_1^2 - a^2} - \frac{r_2}{r_2^2 - a^2} \right) =: m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^* \,. \tag{13}$$

Eliminating q_0 between (11) and (13) imposes a quantised relation between p and e:

$$p = \frac{\omega}{2} \left(\frac{r_1}{r_1^2 - a^2} - \frac{r_2}{r_2^2 - a^2} \right) \times \frac{m_1}{m_2} \times e =: \sigma \frac{m_1}{m_2} e \,. \tag{14}$$

There exist various correlations between the parameters describing the solutions. In order to study those we will use parameters which carry some physical information. For this, given a set (M, a, p_{eff}^2), parameterised by a pair (x_1, x_2) with $x_2 - x_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and arising from a smooth compact Riemannian solution, we will associate to it a *Lorentzian partner solution* with the same values of M and a and with e and p chosen so that (14) holds. Taking into account the inequality $p_{\text{eff}}^2 = p^2 - e^2 > 0$ one is led to the condition

$$\sigma \frac{m_1}{m_2} > 1 \,. \tag{15}$$

Given a further pair (m_1, m_2) such that (12) and (15) hold (note that the last condition can always be achieved by choosing m_1 large enough), we can determine $|q_0|$, $|e|$ and $|p|$ from (11)-(14):

$$\begin{aligned} |e| &= \sqrt{\frac{p_{\text{eff}}^2}{(\sigma \frac{m_1}{m_2})^2 - 1}} \,, \quad |p| = \sigma \frac{m_1}{m_2} \sqrt{\frac{p_{\text{eff}}^2}{(\sigma \frac{m_1}{m_2})^2 - 1}} \,, \\ |q_0| &= \frac{\hbar \Xi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2 m_1^2 - m_2^2}{p_{\text{eff}}^2}} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

In this way we are led to a family of Lorentzian solutions parameterised by one real number and three integers (x_1, n, m_1, m_2) subject to the constraint (15). It holds that $p_{\text{eff}}^2 < p^2 \to_{(m_1/m_2) \to \infty} p_{\text{eff}}^2$, $e \to_{(m_1/m_2) \to \infty} 0$, and thus $p_{\text{eff}}^2 < p^2 + e^2 \to_{(m_1/m_2) \to \infty} p_{\text{eff}}^2$.

Note that the locations r_i of the horizons of the partner solution will *not* coincide with the locations r_i of the rotation axes of the associated Euclidean solutions; similarly for areas, surface gravities, etc.

There is an ambiguity in the definition of total mass of the associated Lorentzian spacetime. In a Hamiltonian approach this ambiguity is related to the choice of the Killing vector field for which we calculate the Hamiltonian [10]. We will use the formulae

$$M_{\text{phys}} = \frac{M}{\Xi_{\text{Lor}}^2}\,, \quad J_{\text{phys}} = \frac{aM}{\Xi_{\text{Lor}}^2}\,, \quad e_{\text{phys}} = \frac{e}{\Xi_{\text{Lor}}}\,, \quad p_{\text{phys}} = \frac{p}{\Xi_{\text{Lor}}}\,, \quad |q_{\text{phys}}| = \frac{\sqrt{p^2+e^2}}{\Xi_{\text{Lor}}}\,, \quad \Xi_{\text{Lor}} := 1+\lambda a^2\,.$$

Here the mass of the Lorentzian solution has been defined to be the value of the Hamiltonian associated with the Killing vector field $\Xi_{\text{Lor}}\partial_t + 3^{-1}\Lambda a\partial_\varphi$, while the total angular momentum is defined with the Hamiltonian associated with ∂_φ.

Two plots displaying correlations between the physical parameters are shown in Figure 1. One observes that all resulting parameters $(a, M, |q_{\text{phys}}|)$ are bounded, and that the values of the parameters approach linear correlations as both x_1 and x_2 tend to infinity. The exact affine relations between the quantities of interest when $1 \ll x_1 \ll x_2$ proved in [8] continue to hold in the current setting. For example, we have

$$|J_{\text{phys}}| \approx -\sqrt{5}M_{\text{phys}} + \frac{2}{3}\,, \tag{17}$$

$$|p_{\text{eff}}| \approx -\frac{9}{3}M_{\text{phys}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{5}}{3}\,, \tag{18}$$

$$S_G \approx -\frac{\sqrt{5}\pi}{4}M_{\text{phys}} - \frac{13}{36}\pi\,, \tag{19}$$

where $S_G = -\Lambda V/(8\pi)$ (with V - the volume) is the gravitational contribution to the total action S (compare [8, Equation (B.8)]) of the Riemannian solution.

The physical parameters of the Lorentzian partner solutions are all bounded from above, cf. Table I. One can further check that $|q_{phys}|$, M_{phys}, and $|J_{phys}|$ tend to 0 and S tends to $-\pi$ when x_2 tends to zero and x_1 tends to infinity.

In particular the physical mass of the Lorentzian partners is strictly positive, bounded away from zero, and bounded from above.

Solutions with very large values of $x_1 - x_2$ are strongly suppressed when path-integral arguments are invoked.



FIG. 1. A comparison of the correlations between $|q_{\text{phys}}|$ and M_{phys} (upper plots) and $|q_{\text{phys}}|$ and $|J_{\text{phys}}|$ (lower plots), all values scaled to $\Lambda = 3$, of the solutions with integer pairs (x_1, x_2) found in [8] (left plots) and the current work (right plots). Left plots: The blue dots correspond to about 2000 solutions which are obtained by taking all values of $1 \leq x_2 < x_1 \leq 50$ and a sample of values in the range $1 \leq x_2 < x_1 \leq 1000$. The red dots are obtained by letting $x_1 \to \infty$, with $1 \leq x_2 \leq 9900$. The black dot is the limit $x_1 \to \infty$, $x_2 \to \infty$. Right plots: Along the plotted lines the "quantum number" $n = x_1 - x_2$ is constant, where $\frac{1}{20} \leq x_2 < x_1 \leq 10^7$ as described above. In the upper plot n is increasing from left to right, on the lower plot from lower to higher curves.

TABLE I. Maximal values of $|p_{\text{eff}}|$, M_{phys}, $|J_{\text{phys}}|$, and the total action S with the corresponding pairs (x_1, x_2). All values scaled to $\Lambda = 3$.

	$(x_1, x_2)_{\max}$	max.
$\lvert q_{\text{phys}}\rvert$	(∞, ∞)	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \approx 0.47$
M_{phys}	(∞, ∞)	0.2548
$\lvert J_{\text{phys}}\rvert$	(∞, ∞)	$\frac{1}{9} \approx 0.111$
S	(∞, x_2)	∞

* piotr.chrusciel@univie.ac.at; http://homepage.univie.ac.at/piotr.chrusciel

† Supported in part by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under project P 23719-N16.

[1] S.W. Hawking, "Gravitational instantons," Phys. Lett. A **60**, 81–83 (1977).

[2] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, eds., *Euclidean Quantum Gravity* (World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1993).

[3] I.S. Booth and R.B. Mann, "Cosmological pair production of charged and rotating black holes," Nucl. Phys. **B539**, 267–306 (1999), arXiv:gr-qc/9806056, arXiv:gr-qc/9806056 [gr-qc].

[4] E. Farhi, A.H. Guth, and J. Guven, "Is It Possible to Create a Universe in the Laboratory by Quantum Tunneling?" Nucl. Phys. **B339**, 417–490 (1990).

[5] S. Coleman, *Aspects of Symmetry* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988) chapter 7.

[6] J.F. Plebański and M. Demiański, "Rotating, charged, and uniformly accelerating mass in general relativity," Ann. Phys. **98**, 98–127 (1976).

[7] B. Carter, "Hamilton-Jacobi and Schrödinger separable solutions of Einstein's equations," Commun. Math. Phys. **10**, 280–310 (1968).

[8] P.T. Chruściel and M. Hörzinger, "The Euclidean quantisation of Kerr-Newman-de Sitter black holes," Jour. High Energy Phys. **2016**, 1–37 (2016), arXiv:1511.08496 [hep-th], arXiv:1511.08496 [hep-th].

[9] M.J. Duff and J. Madore, "Einstein-Yang-Mills pseudoparticles and electric charge quantization," Phys. Rev. D (3) **18**, 2788–2797 (1978).

[10] P.T. Chruściel, J. Jezierski, and J. Kijowski, "Hamiltonian dynamics in the space of asymptotically Kerr-de Sitter spacetimes," Phys. Rev. **D92**, 084030 (2015), arXiv:1507.03868 [gr-qc], arXiv:1507.03868 [gr-qc].